

Experimental expert system for differential diagnosis of dementia

Citation for published version (APA):

Plugge, L. A., Verhey, F. R. J., & Jolles, J. (1989). Experimental expert system for differential diagnosis of dementia. *Parkinson/Alzheimer digest*, 8, 26-27.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1989

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Senile Dementia Alzheimer Type

fell in the Alzheimer's group and rose in the stroke group, with the difference in behavior being highly statistically significant. Thus the response of low-flow areas to acetazolamide differs in Alzheimer's disease patients and in stroke patients, which could be of ultimate significance in the differential diagnosis of the dementias.

Experimental Expert System for Differential Diagnosis of Dementia

Plugge, L.A., Verhey, F.R.J.,
& Jolles, J.

Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr
20:51-58, 1989

REPRINTS:

Vakgroep Neuropsychologie &
Psychobiologie, RL, Postbus
616, 6200 MD Maastricht,
The Netherlands
(Prof. Dr. J. Jolles)

Complaints of decreased cognitive functioning occur in a diversity of disorders as well as in normal aging. Memory disorders that are the sequelae of normal aging are difficult to distinguish from cognitive disorders that are precursors of a brain disease, e.g., dementia of the Alzheimer type (DAT). The problem is aggravated by the fact that dementia still has highly controversial definitions. Although the introduction of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, ed III, (DSM-III) in 1980 and the criteria of the NINCDS-ADRDA consensus work group established a more systematic approach, the diagnostic practice was not improved. This is because the differential diagnosis of dementia requires the integration of results from various complex examinations. Clinical experience plays an important role in the expert knowledge on this subject, but differs between experts. This makes the problem at hand an interesting subject for formalization through the use of an expert system.

The expert system EVINCE-1 incorporates internationally accepted standards as described in the DSM-III-R and the report of the NINCDS-ADRDA consensus work group.

A neuropsychiatrist provided the expertise in implementing these standards. This resulted in

Senile Dementia Alzheimer Type

a decision tree used by the expert system that reflects the decision procedures used by the neuropsychiatrist.

From the patient records of the Maastricht Memory Clinic 19 patients were identified whose disease was diagnosed by the neuropsychiatrist as some form of dementia with at least a 50% certainty. Ten other patients with various disorders but without dementia were also selected. The neuropsychiatrist rewrote each diagnosis in key words on a form, followed by a certainty percentage ranging from 0 to 100.

The main diagnoses were dementia syndrome (DEM), DAT, multiple infarct dementia (MID), depression, and a residual category. Because the latter category contained too large a variety for meaningful comparison, it was dropped from the statistical analysis. The expert system and the neuropsychiatrist displayed a high level of agreement for the diagnosis of DEM, DAT, and MID, and a reasonable level of agreement for the diagnosis of depression. The results confirm the theory that EVINCE-I is a good replication of neuropsychiatric expertise on the subject matter. Thus the expert system can be used for further investigation of neuropsychiatric knowledge and as a tool in dementia research in which standardized diagnostic procedures are important.

Alzheimer's Disease: Statistical Analysis of CT Data

Leys, D., et al.
Rev Neurol
145:134-139, 1989

REPRINTS:

Service Neurology, Hôp. B,
F-59037 Lille Cedex, France

Findings on computed tomography of the brain in 30 patients with early-onset, probable Alzheimer's disease were compared with those in 30 sex- and age-matched controls. The degrees of cerebral atrophy were determined between